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Divided differences are important in connection with interpolation problems. For polynomial interpolation they may be defined by the recurrence formula

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[x_{0} \mid f\right] } & =f\left(x_{0}\right)  \tag{1}\\
{\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m} \mid f\right] } & =\frac{\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \mid f\right]-\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1} \mid f\right]}{x_{m}-x_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that the knots $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are all different. An explicit representation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m} \mid f\right]=\frac{V\binom{p_{0}, \ldots, p_{m-1}, f}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, x_{m}}}{V\binom{p_{0}, \ldots, p_{m-1}, p_{m}}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, x_{m}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand side is a quotient of two determinants of the form

$$
V\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}}:=\operatorname{det} f_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{0}\left(x_{m}\right) \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
f_{m}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{m}\left(x_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

and where

$$
p_{i}(x):=x^{i} \quad(i=0,1, \ldots)
$$

are the "power-functions."
Basic for these "ordinary" divided differences is the classical complete Čebyšev system ( $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{m}$ ). We get generalized divided differences of a
function $f$, if we replace this system by an arbitrary Cebyšev-system ( $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}$ ) (complete or not), ${ }^{1}$ using (2) as definition: ${ }^{2}$

$$
\left[\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}  \tag{3}\\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]:=\frac{V\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}, f}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, x_{m}}}{V\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}, f_{m}}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, x_{m}}}
$$

We shall prove, that the divided differences (3) satisfy a recurrence formula in analogy to (1) which allows a simple computation.

Theorem 1. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $m \geqslant 1$. Let $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$, $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}\right)$ and (in the case $m \geqslant 2$ also $)\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-2}\right)$ be Cebysev-systems over $I$. Consider $m+1$ different knots $x_{i} \in I(i=0, \ldots, m)$. Then

$$
\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]=\frac{\left.\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1} \\
x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}
\end{array}\right] f\right]-\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]}{\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1} \mid f_{m} \\
x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]}
$$

Proof. Since the case $m=1$ is trivial we assume $m \geqslant 2$. For abbreviation let

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(f)= & V\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-2}, f}{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, x_{m}} V\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}} \\
& -V\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-2}, f}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}} V\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}}{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that

$$
\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}  \tag{4}\\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]=\frac{N(f)}{N\left(f_{m}\right)}
$$

First note that the denominator of the right-hand side of (4) does not vanish. $N\left(f_{m}\right)$ considered as a function of $x_{0}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right.$ assumed to be fixed) is a linear combination of $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}$ which has the $m$ zeros $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$. It follows from the assumption about ( $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-2}$ ) that the coefficient of $f_{m}$ does not
${ }^{1}[1, \mathrm{p} .1]$ : The functions $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}\right), f_{i} \in C[a, b]$, will be called a Cebyšev system over $[a, b]$ when

$$
v\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}}>0
$$

for all choices of $x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{m}, x_{i} \in[a, b]$. The functions ( $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}$ ), $f_{i} \in C[a, b]$, will be referred to as a complete Cebyšev system, if $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)$ is a Cebysev system for each $k=0, \ldots, m$.
${ }^{2}$ [2, p. 104].
vanish. Therefore $V\left(f_{m}\right)$ cannot be the zero-function, for otherwise $f_{m}$ must be a linear combination of $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}$, a contradiction. Hence, if the knots are all different, the denominator is different from zero.
$N(f) / N\left(f_{m}\right)$ can be written as a linear combination of $f\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{m}\right)$

$$
\frac{N(f)}{N\left(f_{m}\right)}=\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

with real coefficients $a_{k}$ independent of $f$. Obviously formula (4) is true for the special functions $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}:^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k} f_{j}\left(x_{k}\right)=\delta_{m, j}, \quad j=0, \ldots, m \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this, it follows that (4) is true in general. The real numbers $a_{k}$ are uniquely determined as solutions of system (5) of linear equations, since its determinant is the generalized van der Monde determinant of the Čebyšev system ( $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}$ ). On the other side, the divided difference on the left of (4) is also expressible as a sum of the form

$$
\left[\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{m} b_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

where the coefficients are independent of $f$ and hence solve system (5). Since the solution of (5) is unique, it follows $a_{k}=b_{k}(k=0, \ldots, m)$.
We must divide both nominator and denominator of the right-hand member of (4) by

$$
V\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}}{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}} v\binom{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}}
$$

to obtain Theorem 1.
Theorem 2. Let $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{j}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ and $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{j}, y_{j+1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ with $x_{j+1}=y_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{k}=y_{k}$ be $k+j+2$ distinct points of an interval $I$ $(0 \leqslant j \leqslant k)$. Suppose $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k+1}\right)$ is a complete Čebyšev system over I and set for $i=0, \ldots, j$

$$
a_{i k}(f):=\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]-\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y_{i}, \ldots, y_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right] .
$$

Then we have

$$
\left[\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]-\left[\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k} \\
y_{0}, \ldots, y_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{j} a_{i k}\left(f_{k+1}\right)\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k+1} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, y_{i}, \ldots, y_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right] .
$$

${ }^{8}$ Kronecker delta.

This generalizes a formula of T. Popoviciu [2, p. 6] for the divided differences (1).

Proof. From (4) we get

$$
a_{i k}(f)=a_{i k}\left(f_{k+1}\right) \cdot\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k+1} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, y_{i}, \ldots, y_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]
$$

Now we sum over $i=0, \ldots, j$. In the sum of the differences $a_{i k}(f)$ all terms cancel with the exception of

$$
\left[\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]-\left[\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k} \\
y_{0}, \ldots, y_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right]
$$

Theorem 2 states a connection between the divided differences of a function $f$ with respect to the Cebyšev system $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k+1}\right)$ and the divided differences of $f$ with respect to the "smaller" system $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)$. The following corollary is a direct application of Theorem 2.

Corollary. If the divided differences of a function $f$ with respect to $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k+1}\right)$ are bounded on $I$ and the divided differences of $f_{k+1}$ with respect to $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)$ too, then the divided differences of $f$ with respect to $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)$ are bounded on I.

Another application of Theorem 1 deals with generalized convex functions. Following S. Karlin and W. Studden, the functions $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{m}$ will be called an extended complete Čebyšev system, provided $u_{i} \in C^{m}[a, b], i=0, \ldots, m$ and

$$
V^{*}\binom{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k}}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}}>0, \quad k=0, \ldots, m
$$

for all choices $x_{0} \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant x_{m}, x_{i} \in[a, b]$. In the case $x_{0}=x_{1}=\cdots=x_{k}$, the determinant $V^{*}$ reduces to the Wronskian determinant $W\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$ of the functions $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k}$. If $x_{j-1}<x_{j}=x_{j+1}=\cdots=x_{j+i}<x_{j+i+1}$, we must replace the $i+1$ columns numbered $j$ through $j+i$ of

$$
V\binom{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k}}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}}
$$

by the $i+1$ first columns of the Wronskian $W\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$ to obtain the corresponding columns of

$$
V^{*}\binom{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k_{k}}}{x_{0}, \ldots,} .
$$

A function $f$ defined on the interval $[a, b]$ is said to be convex with respect to $\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$ if

$$
\left[\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k} \\
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right] \geqslant 0
$$

for all choices of $x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{k}, x_{i} \in[a, b] .{ }^{4}$
Theorem 3. Let $f$ be a differentiable function defined on $[a, b]$ and $\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)(m \geqslant 1)$ an extended complete Čebyšev system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}(x)=w_{0}(x) \\
& u_{1}(x)=w_{0}(x) \int_{a}^{x} w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) d t_{1} \\
& u_{2}(x)=w_{0}(x) \int_{a}^{x} w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{a}^{t_{1}} w_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) d t_{2} d t_{1} \\
& u_{m}(x)=w_{0}(x) \int_{a}^{x} w_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{a}^{t_{1}} w_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) \cdots \int_{a}^{t_{m-1}} w_{m}\left(t_{m}\right) d t_{m} \cdots d t_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{i} \in C^{m-i}[a, b]$ are strictly positive functions. Then $f$ is convex with respect to $\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)$ if and only if $\left(f \mid u_{0}\right)^{\prime}$ is convex with respect to the first "reduced system" $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right), v_{i}=\left(u_{i+1} / u_{0}\right)$ '.

This theorem generalizes the well-known fact that a differentiable function $f$ is non-decreasing, convex etc. if and only if the derivative $f^{\prime}$ is nonnegative, nondecreasing, etc. ${ }^{5}$ A proof of this theorem where (in the case $m \geqslant 2$ ) no use is made of the differentiability of $f$ can be found in [1, p. 393 ff ]. But it is rather complicated, for it refers to the fact that a convex function is endowed with substantial continuity and differentiability properties, and as stated by Karlin and Studden [1, p. 381], "the detailed presentation of their proofs is rather elaborate." The following proof of Theorem 3 uses only elementary methods.

To prove the sufficiency of the condition we factor out of

$$
V=V\binom{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{m-1}, f}{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, x_{m}} \quad c:=\prod_{i=0}^{m} u_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)>0
$$

[^0]and subtract from each column its predecessor and expand by minors of the first row
\[

V=c \cdot\left|$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{u_{1}}{u_{0}}\left(x_{1}\right)-\frac{u_{1}}{u_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \frac{u_{1}}{u_{0}}\left(x_{m}\right)-\frac{u_{1}}{u_{0}}\left(x_{m-1}\right) \\
\vdots & & \\
\frac{f}{u_{0}}\left(x_{1}\right)-\frac{f}{u_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \frac{f}{u_{0}}\left(x_{m}\right)-\frac{f}{u_{0}}\left(x_{m-1}\right)
\end{array}
$$\right|
\]

Using the mean value-theorem we obtain

$$
V=c \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) \cdot V\binom{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{m-2},\left(f / u_{0}\right)^{\prime}}{z_{0}, \ldots, z_{m-2}, z_{m-1}}
$$

where $x_{0}<z_{0}<x_{1}<z_{1}<\cdots<z_{m-1}<x_{m}$. This proves the sufficiency. To show the necessity we consider the determinant of order $m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=U\left[\left.\begin{array}{llll}
x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m-1} \\
x_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{m-1}^{\prime}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right] \\
& =\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & {\left[x_{0}, x_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mid u_{2}\right]} & {\left[x_{0}, x_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mid u_{3}\right]} & \cdots & {\left[x_{0}, x_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mid u_{m-1}\right]}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where the divided differences are taken with respect to ( $u_{0}, u_{1}$ ) and where $x_{0}<x_{0}{ }^{\prime}<x_{1}<x_{1}{ }^{\prime}<\cdots<x_{m-1}<x_{m-1}^{\prime}$. We denote by $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2 m-1}$ these points in increasing order and show

$$
U=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i}\left[\left.\begin{array}{c|c}
u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} & f  \tag{6}\\
y_{i}, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{i+m}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right]
$$

with positive coefficients $a_{i}$ independent of $f$. To prove this we subtract from each row of $U$ its predecessor and use the recurrence relation of Theorem 1. Expanding along the first column $U$ reduces to a determinant of order $m-1$. Its $k$ th row ( $k=1, \ldots, m-1$ ) has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left.\begin{array}{c|c}
u_{0}, & u_{1} \\
x_{k}, & x_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, h_{j}\right]-\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1} \\
x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}^{\prime}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, h_{j}\right]} \\
& \quad= \\
& \quad=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1} \mid h_{k} \\
x_{k}, x_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array} h_{j}\right]-\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1} \\
x_{k-1}^{\prime}, x_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, h_{j}\right]+\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1} \\
x_{k-1}^{\prime}, x_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, h_{j}\right]-\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1} \\
x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}^{\prime}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, h_{j}\right] \\
& \\
& \quad=\left\{\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1} \\
x_{k}, x_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{2}\right]-\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1} \\
x_{k-1}^{\prime}, x_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{2}\right]\right\} \cdot\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2} \\
x_{k-1}^{\prime}, x_{k}, x_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, h_{j}\right] \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\left\{\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1} \\
x_{k-1}^{\prime}, x_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{2}\right]-\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1} \\
x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}^{\prime}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{2}\right]\right\} \cdot\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2} \\
x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}^{\prime}, x_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, h_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{j}=u_{j}(j=2, \ldots, m-1)$ and $h_{m}=f$. Assume for the moment that the factors in braces are positive. Since a determinant is a multilinear function of its columns, $U$ can be written as a linear combination of determinants of order $m-1$ of the same form as $U$. The coefficients are positive and independent of $f$, and the elements are now divided differences of order 2. Treating these determinants in the same way, we get after $m-1$ steps formula (6). At the $(k-1)$ st step there arise coefficients of the form

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}  \tag{7}\\
t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} & u_{k}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1} \\
t_{0}, \ldots, t_{k-1}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, u_{k}\right]\right\}
$$

with some $t_{0}<\cdots<t_{k}$ from $y_{0}<\cdots<y_{2 m-1}$; (we show below they are positive). From (6) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim U\left(\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1} \\
x_{0}^{\prime}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}^{\prime}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, f\right) \\
& \quad=\left[\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} v_{0}\left(x_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot V\binom{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-2},\left(f / u_{0}\right)^{\prime}}{x_{0}^{\prime}, x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{m-2}^{\prime}, x_{m-1}^{\prime}} \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

when $x_{i} \rightarrow x_{i}{ }^{\prime}(i=0, \ldots, m-1)$. But since $v_{0}$ is strictly positive this means that $\left(f / u_{0}\right)^{\prime}$ is indeed convex over $I$ with respect to ( $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{m-1}$ ).

It remains to show the coefficients (7) are positive. The following lemma will be needed.

Lemma. Let $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$ and define $\bar{w}_{i}=w_{i}(i=0, \ldots, k-1)$ and

$$
\vec{w}_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}w_{k}(t), & x \leqslant t \leqslant b \\ 0, & a \leqslant t \leqslant x\end{cases}
$$

for some $x, a<x<b$, and

$$
\tilde{u}(t)=\bar{w}_{0}(t) \int_{a}^{t} \bar{w}_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{a}^{t_{1}} \bar{w}_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) \cdots \int_{a}^{t_{k-1}} \bar{w}_{k}\left(t_{k}\right) d t_{k} \cdots d t_{1}
$$

Then $\bar{u}$ is convex with respect to $\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{j}\right)$ for $j=0, \ldots, k$. Moreover

$$
\left[\left.\begin{array}{cl}
u_{0}, \ldots, u_{j} \\
t_{0}, \ldots, t_{j}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \bar{u}\right] \begin{cases}>0, & x<t_{j}, \quad a \leqslant t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{j} \leqslant b \\
=0, & a \leqslant t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{j} \leqslant x\end{cases}
$$

$$
(j=0, \ldots, k)
$$

Proof. Proof by induction: In the case $k=1$ the assertions are trival. Using the following formula for $k>1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\binom{u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k-1}, \bar{u}}{t_{0}, \ldots, t_{k-1}, t_{\bar{k}}} \\
& \quad=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \cdots \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left\{\prod_{i=0}^{k} u_{0}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\} \cdot V\binom{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-2},\left(\bar{u} / u_{0}\right)^{\prime}}{\eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{k-2}, \eta_{k-1}} d \eta_{k-1} \cdots d \eta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see [1, p. 383]) where $v_{i}=\left(u_{i+1} / u_{0}\right)^{\prime}(i=0, \ldots, k-2)$ are the functions of the first "reduced system" of ( $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ ), the lemma can be reduced to the case $k-1$.

Now for any $t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}$ the expression in (7) is positive since $N\left(u_{k}\right)>0$. Indeed, if we choose $x$ in $t_{k-1}<x<t_{k}$ in the lemma, then

$$
\left[\left.\begin{array}{c}
u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k} \\
t_{0}, \ldots, t_{k}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \bar{u}\right]>0
$$

and

Thus $N(\bar{u})>0$ and by (4), $N\left(u_{k}\right)>0$ and (7) holds.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note the little deviation from the definitions 1.1 in [1, p. 375] or 3 in [2, p. 104].
    ${ }^{5}$ For example, see [3, p. 40].

